

## On the absence of spurious eigenstates in an iterative algorithm proposed by Waxman

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2006 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 L297

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/39/20/L01>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.104

The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 04:28

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

## On the absence of spurious eigenstates in an iterative algorithm proposed by Waxman

R A Andrew, H G Miller and A R Plastino

Department of Physics, University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0002, South Africa

E-mail: [hmiller@maple.up.ac.za](mailto:hmiller@maple.up.ac.za)

Received 15 February 2006, in final form 3 April 2006

Published 3 May 2006

Online at [stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/39/L297](http://stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/39/L297)

### Abstract

We discuss a remarkable property of an iterative algorithm for eigenvalue problems recently advanced by Waxman that constitutes a clear advantage over other iterative procedures. In quantum mechanics, as well as in other fields, it is often necessary to deal with operators exhibiting both a continuum and a discrete spectrum. For this kind of operator, the problem of identifying spurious eigenpairs which appear in iterative algorithms like the Lanczos algorithm does not occur in the algorithm proposed by Waxman.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ge, 02.60.Lj

The Hamiltonian operator which describes a quantum mechanical system generally possesses both a continuum and a discrete spectrum. A similar situation also occurs in other fields, such as theoretical population genetics [1]. In many cases, one is only interested in a few of the lower lying bound states of the system. When only bound states are present, iterative algorithms such as the Lanczos algorithm [2] yield good approximations to the lower lying eigenstates with good convergence properties [3–6]. On the other hand, the presence of the continuum leads to complications which can be circumvented but not without introducing spurious eigensolutions that need to be identified and eliminated [7]. Such spurious eigensolutions, however, do not occur in an algorithm recently proposed by Waxman [8]. We compare the two algorithms and demonstrate this behaviour in a simple numerical example.

The presence of the continuum leads to complications in the Lanczos algorithm [2]. Finding a suitable start vector is by no means trivial [9], since the Lanczos algorithm can only be applied to states which are normalizable in the  $\mathcal{L}^2$  sense. For those operators which possess a continuum as well as a point spectrum, the space spanned by the bound state eigenfunctions is by itself certainly not complete and a suitable start vector should be composed only of components in the subspace spanned by the bound state eigenvectors. Usually, the start vector is chosen from a complete set of analytic  $\mathcal{L}^2$  functions which define a space  $\mathcal{F}$ . This space is in most cases not necessarily of the same dimension as the subspace spanned by the exact

eigenvectors. On the other hand, if the Lanczos algorithm is applied with this choice for the start vector, the eigenpairs obtained will correspond to those of the operator  $\hat{H}$  projected onto  $\mathcal{F}$ . A subset of these eigenstates must correspond to the exact eigenpairs of the unprojected Hamiltonian operator since the exact eigenstates can be expanded in terms of the complete set of states which span  $\mathcal{F}$ . The exact bound states can be identified and separated from the spurious bound states in the following manner [7]. After each iteration, for each of the converging eigenpairs  $(e_{l\beta}, |e_{l\beta}\rangle)$ ,  $\Delta_{l\beta} = |e_{l\beta}^2 - \langle e_{l\beta} | \hat{H}^2 | e_{l\beta} \rangle|$  (where  $l$  is the iteration number) is calculated and a determination is made as to whether  $\Delta$  is converging towards zero or not. For the exact bound states of  $\hat{H}$ ,  $\Delta$  must be identically zero while the other spurious eigenstates of the projected operator should converge to some non-zero positive value. Provided sufficient iterations are performed, it is possible, in this manner, to identify uniquely the approximate eigenpairs which ultimately will converge to the exact bound states.

In the present letter, we wish to point out that this difficulty is avoided in a recently proposed iterative algorithm for determining the bound state eigenpairs of linear differential operators such as the Schrödinger Hamiltonian [8]. This algorithm has many advantages not the least of which is its simplicity and an excellent convergence rate. The eigenpairs are determined as functions of the strength of the potential in the following manner. For simplicity, consider a one-dimensional eigenvalue equation [8]

$$[-\partial_x^2 - \lambda V(x)]u(x) = -\epsilon u(x), \quad (1)$$

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where  $\partial_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ ;  $\lambda > 0$  is the strength parameter of the attractive potential ( $\lambda V(x) > 0$  and  $V(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ ) and the energy eigenvalue,  $-\epsilon$  (with  $\epsilon > 0$ ), is negative and corresponds to a bound state. Using Green's method, a solution to equation (1) is given by

$$u(x) = \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_\epsilon(x - x') V(x') u(x') dx', \quad (3)$$

where the Green's function  $G_\epsilon(x)$  satisfies

$$[-\partial_x^2 + \epsilon]G_\epsilon(x) = \delta(x), \quad (4)$$

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} G_\epsilon(x) = 0. \quad (5)$$

Normalizing  $u(x)$  at an arbitrary  $x_{\text{ref}}$ ,

$$u(x_{\text{ref}}) = 1, \quad (6)$$

allows  $\lambda$  to be written as (see equation (3))

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\int G_\epsilon(x_{\text{ref}} - x') V(x') u(x') dx'}, \quad (7)$$

which can then be used to eliminate  $\lambda$  from equation (3)

$$u(x) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_\epsilon(x - x') V(x') u(x') dx'}{\int G_\epsilon(x_{\text{ref}} - x') V(x') u(x') dx'}. \quad (8)$$

Using equations (7) and (8),  $\lambda$  can be determined as a function of  $\epsilon$  in the following manner. For a particular choice of  $\epsilon$ , equation (8) can be iterated

$$u_{n+1}(x) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_\epsilon(x - x') V(x') u_n(x') dx'}{\int G_\epsilon(x_{\text{ref}} - x') V(x') u_n(x') dx'}, \quad (9)$$

until it converges and  $\lambda$  can then be determined from equation (7). Repeating for different values of  $\epsilon$  yields a set of different values of the potential strength  $\lambda$ . When enough points

have been determined, a simple interpolation procedure yields the dependence of  $\epsilon$  on  $\lambda$ . Note that no diagonalization is required. In spite of the necessity of interpolating, the rapid convergence of the numerical solution of equation (9) makes the present algorithm extremely viable. Furthermore, a proof of convergence has been given and the algorithm can be extended for the calculation of excited states [8].

In order to demonstrate that spurious solutions do not occur in the aforementioned algorithm, we have performed the following simple calculation. An inverse Gaussian potential

$$V(x) = \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2}\right)$$

with half-width of  $2\sqrt{(2\ln(2))}$  has been constructed which does not support any excited bound states. The Lanczos algorithm has been used to determine the eigenstates of the corresponding Hamiltonian operator in one dimension using

$$\phi_1(x) = \langle x|1\rangle = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} e^{-x^2}$$

as the normalized start vector. After 18 iterations, the Lanczos algorithm yielded the ground state at  $e_{18\ 1} = -0.475\ 917$  plus a spurious state at  $e_{18\ 2} = 0.529\ 612$ . In the case of the ground state,  $\Delta_{18\ 1} = 0.021\ 8906$  while  $\Delta_{18\ 2} = 2.096\ 73$  clearly indicating that the excited state is spurious. The Waxman algorithm, using  $x_{\text{ref}} = 0$  for the determination of the interpolating function  $\epsilon(\lambda)$ , yielded the ground state at energy  $= -0.479\ 203$ . Here the aforementioned iterations were repeated until  $\lambda = 1$  yielded a value to within  $10^{-3}$ . When the Waxman algorithm was used to find the first excited state, it did not yield a solution for  $\lambda = 1$ . Hence, no spurious solutions were obtained with the algorithm. Only for  $\lambda \geq 1.353\ 48$  did the Gaussian potential support at least one excited bound state.

The spurious states arise in the case of the Lanczos algorithm because the resulting matrix representation of the Hamiltonian operator in the Lanczos basis corresponds to projecting it onto the space  $\mathcal{F}$ . The diagonalization of the resulting projected operator yields spurious eigenpairs. In the Waxman algorithm, iterations in each step are performed with the Hamiltonian operator and no projection or diagonalization is required. Hence, ultimately only the exact bound states are obtained and there are no problems with spurious states.

## References

- [1] Waxman D 2002 *Contemp. Phys.* **43** 13
- [2] Lanczos C 1950 *J. Res. Natl Bur. Stand.* **45** 255
- [3] Plastino A R and Miller H G 1995 *Phys. Lett. A* **205** 125
- [4] Sebe T and Nachamkin J 1969 *Ann. Phys.* **51** 100
- [5] Haydock R 1975 *Computational Methods in Classical and Quantum Physics* ed M B Hooper (Washington, DC: Hemisphere) p 24
- [6] Whitehead R 1972 *Nucl. Phys. A* **182** 290
- [7] Andrew R C and Miller H G 2003 *Phys. Lett. A* **318** 487
- [8] Waxman D 1998 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** 1329
- [9] Kreuzer K G, Miller H G and Berger W A 1981 *Phys. Lett. A* **81** 429